

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Aldress ** MMISSI (SER) F PATENTS AND TRADEMAAKS Wash agton 10 ** 2021 www.ospo.gov

APPLICATION NO	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10-081,089	02 21 2002	Chong Woo	PSS.0027US	2823
7.4	90 03 20 2003			
Timothy N. Trop TROP, PRUNER & HU, P.C. Suite 100			EXAMINER	
			TRAN, MAI HUONG C	
8554 Katy Free Houston, TX			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2818	
			DATE MAILED: 03-20-2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)					
, Office Action Summary		10/081,089	WOO, CHONG					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Mai-Huong Tran	2818					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication apports or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address					
THE - Extended after aft	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Insions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1 1 is SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period varie to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1 704(b)	36(a) In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) d will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDON	timely filed ays will be considered timely im the mailing date of this communication VED (35 U.S.C. § 133)					
1)[Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 c	June 2002 .						
2a)∏		iis action is non-final.						
3)								
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-21 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.								
5)	5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)	6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.							
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)[]	Claim(s) 1-21 are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.						
Applicat	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.								
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.								
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.								
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.								
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120							
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f)								
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:							
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
* (3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bu See the attached detailed Office action for a list	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
		·						
	er et side dattent i silvede it visit i silve i silved							
Attachmer								
· [] Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) [] Interview Summa	ar, PTO 415 Paper N <					

Application/Control Number: 10/081,089

Art Unit: 2818

Election/Restrictions

Claims 1-21 are pending in this application.

1. Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

Group I. Claims 13-20, drawn to a semiconductor device, classified in class 257.

and subclass 531.

- Group II. Claims 1-12 and 21, drawn to process of making a semiconductor device. classified in class 438, and subclass 957.
- 2. The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions II and I are related as process of making and product made. The inventions are distinct if either or both of following can be shown: (1) that the process as claimed can be use to make other and materially different product or by hand, or (2) that process as claimed can be made by another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(f)). In the instance case unpatentabilities of the group I invention would not necessarily imply unpatentability of the group II invention, since the device of the group I invention could be made by the processes materially different from those of the group II invention.

3. Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, the fields of search are not co-extensive. Therefore, separate examination would be required and

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/081,089

Art Unit: 2818

- 4. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a diligently filed petition under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(h).
- 5. Any inquiry concerning this communication on earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mai-Huong Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-1958. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:00 AM to 4:30 PM. The examiner's supervisor, David Nelms can be reached on (703) 308-4910. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-7724.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Mai-Huong Tran

MH

HOALHC PRIMARY EXAMINER